SINGLE AUDIT REPORTS

POLK COUNTY, TEXAS

For the Year Ended September 30, 2018

SINGLE AUDIT REPORTS September 30, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| ACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM EUIDANCE, AND THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF EDERAL AWARDS | 1 |
| INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE, AND THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS | 3 |
| SCHEDULES | |
| SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR AUDIT FINDINGS | 6 |
| SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS | 7 |
| SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS | 9 |
| NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS | 10 |



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

June 18, 2019

To the Honorable County Judge and Members of the Commissioners' Court of Polk County, Texas:

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Polk County, Texas (the "County") as of and for the year ended September 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated June 18, 2019.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2018-001 that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Management's Response to Findings

The County's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The County's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

BELT HARRIS PECHACEK, LLLP

Belt Harris Pechacek, LLLP Certified Public Accountants Houston, Texas



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE, AND THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

June 18, 2019

To the Honorable County Judge and Members of the Commissioners' Court of Polk County, Texas:

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the Polk County, Texas' (the "County") compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2018. The County's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the County's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance.



Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2018.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. We have issued our report thereon dated June 18, 2019, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial

statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

BELT HARRIS PECHACEK, LLLP

Belt Harris Pechacek, LLLP Certified Public Accountants Houston, Texas

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR AUDIT FINDINGS
For the Year Ended September 30, 2018

A. SUMMARY OF PRIOR YEAR AUDIT FINDINGS

None

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND OUESTIONED COSTS

For the Year Ended September 30, 2018

A. SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

- 1. The auditors' report expresses an unmodified opinion on the basic financial statements of Polk County, Texas (the "County").
- 2. No material weaknesses in internal control were disclosed by the audit of the financial statements.
- 3. No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements were disclosed during the audit.
- 4. No material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over major federal award programs were disclosed by the audit.
- 5. The auditors' report on compliance for the major federal award programs expresses an unmodified opinion.
- 6. No audit findings relative to the major federal award programs for the County are reported.
- 7. The programs included as major programs are:

| CFDA | Program Name | |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 97.036 | Disaster Grants - Public Assistance | |

- 8. The threshold for distinguishing Type A and B programs was \$750,000.
- 9. The County did qualify as a low-risk auditee.

B. FINDINGS – BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

2018-001. ACCOUNT RECONCILIATIONS

<u>Criteria</u>

The Tax Assessor-Collector, County Clerk, and District Clerk's office is responsible for accounting and distributing monies held in fiduciary trust funds.

Condition

As of year end, it appeared that the County Auditor's office had not been reconciling the account statements received from the Tax Assessor-Collector, County Clerk, and District Clerk's offices to the general ledger.

Cause

The Auditor's office did not reconcile and make adjustments to record the activity for Tax Assessor-Collector, County Clerk, and District Clerk's offices to the general ledger.

Recommendation

The County Auditor's office should reconcile all statements to the general ledger at the end of

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (Continued)
For the Year Ended September 30, 2018

each month.

Management's Corrective Action Plan

The Auditor's office concurs with the recommendation and is in the process of implementing procedures to reconcile the various bank accounts to the general ledger at the end of each month.

C. FINDINGS - FEDERAL AWARDS

None

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the Year Ended September 30, 2018

| Department/Pass-Through Agency/Program Name | Program/Grant/ Project Number | CFDA Number | Exp | enditures |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------|-----|-----------|
| DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE | | | • | |
| Pass-through Criminal Division/Joint Law Enforcement | | | | |
| Asset Forfeiture Program | LOA #2017-118 | 16.922 | \$ | 7,960 |
| Asset Forfeiture Program | LOA #2018-115 | 16.922 | | 19,578 |
| | Total Department | of Justice | | 27,538 |
| DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES | | | | |
| Pass-Through Deep East Texas Council of Governments | | | | |
| Title III Part C1, Nutrition Services | 014-16-1000824-4 | 93.045 | | 74,085 |
| Title III Part C2, Nutrition Services | 014-16-1000824-4 | 93.045 | | 44,991 |
| === = | 011 10 1000021 1 | Subtotal | 1 | 119,076 |
| Pass-Through Texas Department of Aging and Disability Service | ces | | | 117,070 |
| Social Services Block Grant | 1000824 | 93.667 | | 145,876 |
| Total De | epartment of Health and Huma | an Services | | 264,952 |
| DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR | • | | | |
| Pass-Through Bureau of Land Management | | | | |
| Payments in Lieu of Taxes - 2017 | PL 110-343 | 15 226 | | 2.255 |
| Payments in Lieu of Taxes - 2017 Payments in Lieu of Taxes - 2018 | PL 110-343 PL 110-343 | 15.226 15.226 | | 2,255 |
| 1 ayments in Lieu of Taxes - 2016 | Total Department | | | 51,659 |
| | _ | of three for | | 33,914 |
| DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | Γ | | | |
| Pass-through Texas Department of Agriculture | | | | |
| Infrastructure/Real Estate Program | 7215092 | 14.228 | | 146,486 |
| Total Departs | ment of Housing and Urban De | evelopment | | 146,486 |
| DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY | | | | |
| Pass-Through Texas Department of Public Safety's Division of | | | | |
| Emergency Management | | | | |
| Disaster Grants - Public Assistance | FEMA-4332-DR-TX | 97.036 | | 161,978 |
| | | | | 101,5.0 |
| FEMA - Hazard Mitigation | PDM15-1110 | 97.039 | | 14,000 |
| - | | | | |
| Emergency Management Performance Grant | 17TX-EMPG-0441 | 97.042 | | 8,863 |
| | | Subtotal | | 184,841 |
| Pass-Through Office of the Governor | | | | |
| Emergency Response Team Equipment Upgrade | HS 3384501 | 97.067 | | 78,022 |
| Zamer Senso 1 control 1 control of State | Total Department of Homelar | | | 262,863 |
| | rotar Department of Homelar | ia security | | 202,003 |
| | Total Federal Ex | | | 755,753 |

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the Year Ended September 30, 2018

NOTE 1: BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (SEFA) includes the federal grant activity of Polk County, Texas, and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in the SEFA is presented in accordance with the requirements of *OMB Compliance Supplement*; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Therefore, some amounts presented in the SEFA may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation, of the basic financial statements.

NOTE 2: INDIRECT COST RATE

The County elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.